14981. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 237 Half Boxes of Oranges. Default decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product ordered destroyed. (F. & D. No. 21646. I. S. No. 7746-x. S. No. E-5957.)

On February 2, 1927, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel, and on February 19, 1927, an amended libel, praying seizure and condemnation of 237 half boxes of oranges, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston. Mass.. alleging that the article had been shipped by S. J. Sligh & Co., Orlando, Fla., and transported from the State of Florida into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Examination of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department

showed that it was composed of frost-damaged fruit.

It was alleged in the libel as amended that the article was adulterated, in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On February 23, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

14982. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 183 Half Boxes of Oranges. fault decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. D. No. 21645. I. S. No. 7648-x. S. No. E-5956.)

On February 2, 1927, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel, and on February 19, 1927. an amended libel, praying seizure and condemnation of 183 half boxes of oranges, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Standard Growers Exchange, Lucerne Park, Fla., and transported from the State of Florida into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Examination of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it was composed of frost-damaged fruit.

It was alleged in the libel as amended that the article was adulterated, in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On February 23, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agribulture.

14983. Adulteration of grapefruit. U. S. v. 360 Boxes of Grapefruit. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21732. I. S. No. 5787-x. S. No. E-5966.)

On February 26, 1927, the United States attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 360 boxes of grapefruit, at Pittsburgh, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped by Gentile Bros. Co., Bowling Green, Fla., on or about February 17, 1927, and transported from the State of Florida into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Case) "Grapefruit Rex Brand" (or "Florida Arms Brand") "Gentile Bros. Co. Orlando, Florida."

Examination of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department

showed that it was composed of frost-damaged fruit.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it consisted

in whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On March 1, 1927, James A. Descalzi, Pittsburgh, Pa., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the condemnation and forfeiture of the product, a decree was entered, ordering that the said product be released to the claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,200, the terms of said bond requiring that it be reprocessed and reconditioned under the supervision of this department.